

Woodside Energy Ltd.

ACN 005 482 986
Woodside Plaza
240 St Georges Terrace
Perth, Western Australia, 6000
GPO Box D188
Perth, Western Australia, 6840
T: +61 (8) 9348 4000
F: +61 (8) 9214 2777

www.woodside.com.au

Please direct all responses/queries to: Soolim Carney
Level 2, Forrest Centre
219 St Georges Terrace
Perth, WA 6000
T: +61 (8) 9348 6182
F: +61 (8) 9214 2833
E: soolim.carney@woodside.com.au

Our reference: Your reference: DRIMS # 4413337 PLU/GOV/00328

22 December 2008

CEO Department of Environment and Conservation Environmental Regulation Division The Atrium Level 4, 168 St Georges Terrace Perth Western Australia 6000 CEO Department of Environment and Conservation

Attention: Ian Munro

Manager, Compliance Monitoring Section Environmental Regulation Division

Dear Mr. Munro

PLUTO LNG PROJECT – MINISTERIAL STATEMENT 757 COMPLIANCE REPORT 2008: PLUTO LIQUEFIED NATURAL GAS DEVELOPMENT (SITE B OPTION) BURRUP PENINSULAR, SHIRE OF ROEBOURNE

In accordance with Condition 4.1, of Ministerial Statement 757, for the Pluto Liquefied Natural Gas Development (Site B option), Woodside provides the attached Annual Compliance report.

I certify that construction activities for Industrial Lease Areas A and B have complied with Schedule 1 of Ministerial Statement 757 and with the exception of three boundary excursions in 2008 Woodside has substantially met the Conditions outlined within the Statement.

If you have any queries in relation to the attached report or other matters relating to the Pluto LNG Project, please do not hesitate to contact Soolim Carney, Corporate Affairs and Sustainability Manager, on (08) 9348 6182.

Yours sincerely

Philip Meier

Senior Vice President Burrup Development

CC: Lucio Della Martina, Executive Vice President Pluto

Attachment: Pluto LNG Project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report 2008.

1. INTRODUCTION

This Environmental Compliance Report demonstrates compliance with conditions outlined in Ministerial Statement 757 for the Pluto Liquefied Gas Development (Site B option) Burrup Peninsula, Shire of Roebourne. Construction works for the Pluto LNG Project commenced on 15 October 2007, following receipt of the Commonwealth Decision Approval. This report meets the requirements of annual reporting outlined in Condition 4.1 of Ministerial Statement 757.

Since October last year, the Pluto LNG Project has progressed in the construction scope and is approximately 30% complete. In summary;

- Pluto Site B The approved footprint has been fully cleared, with bulk earthworks nearing completion;
- Pluto Site A Construction of the two LNG tanks have progressed to the completion of outer shells and roof. Construction of the three condensate tanks has also commenced. Preassembled modules (from Thailand) and are being transported to site for installation:
- Shore crossing Earthworks for the shore crossing is nearing completion;
- Jetty Jetty piles are being installed to support jetty construction;
- Dredging Phase 1 dredging (jetty exclusion zone, channel crossing and inner trunkline) is largely complete.

1.1 STRUCTURE OF THIS DOCUMENT

Section 2 displays the relevant conditions, action taken and evidence produced to demonstrate that these conditions have been met. Evidence for each of these conditions has been presented in the appendices (where relevant). Photographic evidence of compliance against the construction Environmental Management Plans is provided in Appendix 1.

Note:

- Code prefixes: M = Minister's condition; P = Proponent's commitment; A = Audit specification; N = Procedure.
- Any elements with status = "Audited by proponent only" are legally binding but are not required to be addressed specifically in compliance reports, if complied with.
- Acronyms list: Chief Executive Officer CEO; Department of Environmental Protection DEP; Evaluation
 Division Part IV; Pollution Prevention Division Part V; Waste Management Division WMD; Department of
 Conservation and Land Management CALM; Department of Minerals and Energy DME; Environmental
 Protection Authority EPA; Health Department of WA HDWA;
- Water and Rivers Commission WRC; Bush Fires Board BFB

2. MINISTERIAL CONDITIONS AND COMMITMENTS

 Table 1: Pluto LNG Project (Site B option), Conditions and Commitments

- Subject - Objective - Object	Audit Code	What action must be taken	Project phase	• To	Status
- Objective - Foliations that action has been taken - Foliations and processor and pro					
For Man 1 Proposed in Formation and control and addoctribed in activated of a first selection of Uprocured and addoctribed in activated of a first selection of Uprocured and addoctribed in activated of a first selection of Uprocured and addoctribed in activated of the project. Proposed in the Control of the Control o	-		taken	of	
Proposal Implementation		Evidence that action has been taken	Where it is to be taken		
Polystype To minimize environmental impact of the project. Prigence Confirmed in Plus LNG Project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report. Proponent Nomination and Contact Details Proponent Nomination and Contact Details of Language of Language and					
Segining of constriction Segining of constri		Objective To minimise environmental impact of the project.			Construction commenced on 15 October 2007. Proposal has been implemented as required by Ministerial Statement 757.
Agige The proposent for the time being mominated by the Minister for the Environment under acclarion 38(s) or 38(7) of the Proposent Proposent Information and Cortisal Delais Proposent Normination and Cortisal Delais Proposent Normination and Cortisal Delais Proposent Normination and Proposent Normination Proposed Insurance Propo		Evidence Confirmed in Pluto LNG Project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report.			Photos have been provided of site construction activities to document the beginning of construction.
Proposent Nomination and Contract Details Five/fighting To ensure legal responsible for the implementation of the proposal. Five/fighting To ensure legal responsibility for the project reside with a nominated proposent. Five/fighting To ensure legal responsibility for the project reside with a nominated proposent. Five/fighting To ensure legal responsibility for the project residence of Contract Details Five/fighting To ensure legal responsibility for the project residence of Contract Details Five/fighting To ensure legal responsibility for the project residence of Contract Details Five/fighting To ensure legal responsibility for the project fire in the DEC as late to maintain contact with the proposent of Contract Details Five/fighting To ensure legal responsibility for the project fire implementation and conservation (CCI) of any change of the name and Contract Details Five/fighting To ensure legal responsibility for the project diministerial Statement and Contract Details Five/fighting To ensure legal responsibility for the project implementation and extension of the fire army and national contract within 15 years of the DEC as late to maintain contact within the proposent Five/fighting To ensure legal responsibility for the project implementation of the project diministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report. Five/fighting To ensure legal responsibility for the project implementation and extension of the first report to be submitted for the army and national contract within 15 months after the commencement of project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report to be submitted for the project of statement 757 Compliance Report to be submitted for the project of statement 757 Compliance Report to be submitted for the project is implemented as approved, and the requiremental compliance report relating to the provider stream provider and the requiremental compliance report relating to the provider of Contract 2001 Contract as a proper of the submitted for the provider of Contract 2001 Contract as a pr					Appendix 1 – Photos of Pluto LNG Project Construction works.
Specifys To ensure logal responsibility for the project rests with a nominated proponent. Not applicable for 2007-2008 reporting period Proponent Nomination and Contact Details Proponent Nomination and Contact Details of the proponent of the Beaptiment of Environment and Conservation (CEC) of any change of the name and Address. Report of Change in address, notify DEC within 30 days of change. Proponent Nomination and Contact Details of the proponent of the serving on Indices or the proponent remains the same as a special responsibility of the property of the date of publication of this statement. Proponent Number of Within 5 years Actions The proposal must be substantially commenced within 5 years of the date of publication of this statement. Proponent Number of Within 5 years Actions The proposal must be substantially commenced within 5 years of the date of publication of this statement. Proposed Publication of this statement for Compliance Report. Proposed Publication of the property of	Proponent Nomination and				
Agign) Notly the Chief Executive Officer of the Department of Environment and Conservation (CEC) of any change of the name and address of the proposent for the serving of rollease or the proposent for the serving of rollease of change. Petitive To ensure that the DEC is able to maintain contact with the proposent Time Limit of Authorisation Evidence Details of change of nameland or address. Action The proposal must be substantially commenced within 5 years of the date of publication of this statement. Petitive Power of the substantially commenced within 5 years of the date of publication of this statement. Petitive Project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report. Photos have been provided of site construction and technology available. Evidence Private the project is implemented using the most recent information and technology available. Evidence Private the project is implemented using the most recent information and technology available. Evidence Comfirm in Puto LNG Project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report. Proposal has implemented as approved, and the relevant conditions and commitments are required by the CEO to report of the submitted for the period of October 2007 - Octobe	Contact Details	Objective To ensure legal responsibility for the project rests with a nominated proponent.			Ministerial Statement 757 as instructed by the Minister of Environment.
Aging Project Nomination and Contact Details of the proponent for the serving of notices or other correspondence within 30 days of sauch change. 757.M3.1 Time Limit of Authorisation 757.M3.2 Time Limit of Authorisation 757.M3.1 Compliance Reporting 757.M3.2 Time Limit of Authorisation 757.M3.1 Compliance Reporting 757.M3.2 Compliance Reporting 757.M3.3 Compliance Reporting 757.M3.4 Compliance					
Objective To ensure that the DEC is able to maintain contact with the proponent Evidence Details of change of nameland or address. Applied Time Limit of Authorisation Time Limit of Authorisation Dispective To ensure that the project is implemented using the most recent information and technology available. Evidence Pluto LNG Project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report. Appendix 1 – Photos have been provided of site construction activities to docum explication. Appendix 1 – Photos have been provided of site construction activities to docum explication. Appendix 1 – Photos of Pluto LNG Project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report. Appendix 1 – Photos of Pluto LNG Project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report to expression of 5 years from the date of this statement. Objective To ensure that the project is implemented using the most recent information and technology available. Evidence Confirm in Pluto LNG Project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report to submitted within 15 months after the commencement of operations and thereafter annually, unless required by the CEO to report more frequently. Objective To provide evidence that the proposal is being implemented as approved, and the relevant conditions and commitments are being met. Evidence Pluto LNG Project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report to submitted within 15 months after the commencement of operations and thereafter annually, unless required by the CEO to report more frequently. Objective To provide evidence that the proposal is being implemented as approved, and the relevant conditions and commitments are being met. Evidence Pluto LNG Project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report to submitted by 1 January 2009 deadline. To provide evidence that the proposal is being implemented as approved, and the relevant conditions and commitments are being met. Evidence Pluto LNG Project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report to submitted by 1 January 2009. Evidence Pluto LNG Project Ministerial Statement 7	Proponent Nomination and	address of the proponent for the serving of notices or other correspondence within 30 days of such change.		DEC	
Action: The proposal must be substantially commenced within 5 years of the date of publication of this statement. Chiective: To ensure that the project is implemented using the most recent information and technology available. Firstence: Pluto LNG Project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report. Action: Provide the CEO with written evidence which demonstrates that the proposal has substantially commenced on or before the evidence on of 5 years from the date of this statement. Action: Provide the CEO with written evidence which demonstrates that the proposal has substantially commenced on or before the evidence on of 5 years from the date of this statement. Action: Provide the CEO with written evidence which demonstrates that the proposal has substantially commenced on or before the evidence on of 5 years from the date of this statement. Action: Provide the CEO with written evidence which demonstrates that the proposal has substantially commenced on or before the evidence on of 5 years from the date of this statement. Action: Provide the CEO with written evidence which demonstrates that the proposal has substantially commenced on or before the evidence on of before the evidence of the commencement of 5 years from the date of this statement 757 Compliance Report. Action: Provide the CEO with written evidence which demonstrates that the proposal has substantially commenced on or before the evidence on the first report to be submitted within 15 years. Action: Provide written the project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report to be submitted for the period of October 2007 Publicative To provide evidence that the proposal is being implemented as approved, and the relevant conditions and commitments are vering met. Evidence: Pluto LNG Project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report to be submitted for the period of October 2007 O	Contact Detaile				
Action: The proposal must be substantially commenced within 5 years of the date of publication of this statement. Chiective: To ensure that the project is implemented using the most recent information and technology available. Firstence: Pluto LNG Project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report. Action: Provide the CEO with written evidence which demonstrates that the proposal has substantially commenced on or before the evidence on of 5 years from the date of this statement. Action: Provide the CEO with written evidence which demonstrates that the proposal has substantially commenced on or before the evidence on of 5 years from the date of this statement. Action: Provide the CEO with written evidence which demonstrates that the proposal has substantially commenced on or before the evidence on of 5 years from the date of this statement. Action: Provide the CEO with written evidence which demonstrates that the proposal has substantially commenced on or before the evidence on of 5 years from the date of this statement. Action: Provide the CEO with written evidence which demonstrates that the proposal has substantially commenced on or before the evidence on of before the evidence of the commencement of 5 years from the date of this statement 757 Compliance Report. Action: Provide the CEO with written evidence which demonstrates that the proposal has substantially commenced on or before the evidence on the first report to be submitted within 15 years. Action: Provide written the project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report to be submitted for the period of October 2007 Publicative To provide evidence that the proposal is being implemented as approved, and the relevant conditions and commitments are vering met. Evidence: Pluto LNG Project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report to be submitted for the period of October 2007 O		Evidence Details of change of name/and or address			
Construction commenced on 15 October 2007. Proposal has implemented as required by Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report. Action Provide the CEO with written evidence which demonstrates that the proposal has substantially commenced on or before the expiration of 5 years from the date of this statement. Action Provide the CEO with written evidence which demonstrates that the proposal has substantially commenced on or before the expiration of 5 years from the date of this statement. Objective To ensure that the project is implemented using the most recent information and technology available. Evidence Confirm in Pluto LNG Project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report or evidence to demonstrate implementation and compliance with Ministerion Compliance Report to the submitted within 15 months after the commencement of operations and thereafter annually, unless required by the CEO to report more frequently. Objective To provide evidence that the proposal is being implemented as approved, and the relevant conditions and commitments are being met. Evidence Pluto LNG Project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report to be submitted within 15 months after the commencement of operations and thereafter annually, unless required by the CEO to report more frequently. Objective To provide evidence that the proposal is being implemented as approved, and the relevant conditions and commitments are being met. Evidence Pluto LNG Project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report to be submitted for the period of October 2007-October 2007-October 2007-October 2007-October 2007-October 2008. Content to include the "Evidence" listed in this audit table against each Ministerial Condition plus internal audit program approved by the CEO and shall be prepared and submitted in 6 format acceptable to the CEO. Objective To provide evidence that the proposal is being implemented as approved, and the relevant conditions and commitments of comment on 28 May 2008. DEC subsequently approved the commencement of an audit		Action The proposal must be substantially commenced within 5 years of the date of publication of this statement.	= = = =		Completed
Photos have been provided of site construction activities to docume beginning of construction. Appendix 1 – Photos of Pluto LNG Project Construction works. Appendix 1 – Photos of Pluto LNG Project Construction works. Appendix 1 – Photos of Pluto LNG Project Construction works. Appendix 1 – Photos of Pluto LNG Project Construction works. Appendix 1 – Photos of Pluto LNG Project Construction works. Appendix 1 – Photos of Pluto LNG Project Construction works. Appendix 1 – Photos of Pluto LNG Project Construction works. Compliance Report to expert the expertation of 5 years from the date of this statement. Objective To ensure that the project is implemented using the most recent information and technology available. Evidence. Confirm in Pluto LNG Project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report to be submitted by thin 15 months after the commencement of operations and thereafter annually, unless required by the CEO to report more frequently. Objective To provide evidence that the proposal is being implemented as approved, and the relevant conditions and commitments are being met. Evidence. Pluto LNG Project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report to be submitted by 1 January 2009 deadline. Evidence Pluto LNG Project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report to be submitted for the period of October 2007 - October 2008. Content to include the "Evidence" listed in this audit table against each Ministerial Condition plus internal audit results. First report to be submitted by 1 January 2009. Action The environmental compliance reports shall address each element of an audit program approved by the CEO and shall be prepared and submitted in a format acceptable to the CEO. Objective To provide evidence that the proposal is being implemented as approved, and the relevant conditions and commitments DEC Compliance Reporting Action The environmental compliance report shall address each element of an audit program approved by the CEO and shall be prepared and submitted in a format acceptable to the					Construction commenced on 15 October 2007. Proposal has been implemented as required by Ministerial Statement 757.
Action Provide the CEO with written evidence which demonstrates that the proposal has substantially commenced on or before the expiration of 5 years from the date of this statement. Action Provide the CEO with written evidence which demonstrates that the proposal has substantially commenced on or before the expiration of 5 years from the date of this statement. Action To ensure that the project is implemented using the most recent information and technology available. Evidence. Confirm in Pluto LNG Project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report. Action To ensure that the proposal is being implemented as approved, and the relevant condition and commitments are being met. Action To environment a compliance Report to be submitted by 1 January 2009. Action The environmental compliance reports shall address each element of an audit program approved by the CEO and shall be prepared and submitted in a format acceptable to the CEO. Objective To provide evidence that the proposal is being implemented as approved, and the relevant condition plus internal audit results. First report to be submitted by 1 January 2009. Action The environmental compliance reports shall address each element of an audit program approved by the CEO and shall be prepared and submitted in a format acceptable to the CEO. Objective To provide evidence that the proposal is being implemented as approved, and the relevant conditions and commitments.		Evidence Pluto LNG Project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report.			Photos have been provided of site construction activities to document the beginning of construction.
Pluto LNG Project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report of evidence to demonstrate implementation and compliance with Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report or evidence to demonstrate implementation and compliance with Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report to be submitted within 15 months after the commencement of operations and thereafter annually, unless required by the CEO to report more frequently. Objective To provide evidence that the proposal is being implemented as approved, and the relevant condition plus internal audit results. First report to be submitted by 1 January 2009. Action The environmental compliance report shall address each element of an audit program approved by the CEO and shall be proposal is being implemented as approved, and the relevant conditions and commitments are being met. Pluto LNG Project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report to be submitted by 1 January 2009 deadline. Pluto LNG Project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report to be submitted for the period of October 2007 - October 2008. Content to include the "Evidence" listed in this audit table against each Ministerial Condition plus internal audit results. First report to be submitted by 1 January 2009. Action The environmental compliance reports shall address each element of an audit program approved by the CEO and shall be proposal is being implemented as approved, and the relevant conditions and commitments					
Evidence Confirm in Pluto LNG Project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report. Action: Submit to the CEO an annual environmental compliance report relating to the previous twelve-month period, the first report to be submitted within 15 months after the commencement of operations and thereafter annually, unless required by the CEO to report one frequently. Objective To provide evidence that the proposal is being implemented as approved, and the relevant conditions and commitments are being met. Evidence Pluto LNG Project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Reports about the period of October 2007 - October 2008. Content to include the "Evidence" listed in this audit table against each Ministerial Condition plus internal audit results. First report to be submitted by 1 January 2009. 757:M4.2 Compliance Reporting Action: Submit to the CEO an annual environmental compliance reports shall address each element of an audit program approved by the CEO to report are being met. Evidence: Pluto LNG Project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report to be submitted by 1 January 2009 deadline. Evidence: Pluto LNG Project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report to be submitted by 1 January 2009 deadline. Terror to be submitted by 1 January 2009. Objective: To provide evidence that the proposal is being implemented as approved, and the relevant conditions and commitments. DEC Completed Pluto LNG Project Annual Audit Program was submitted for comment on 28 May 2008. DEC subsequently approved the comment on 28 May 2008. DEC subsequently approved the comment on 28 May 2008.	Time Limit of Authorisation		Within 5 years	Environment	Pluto LNG Project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report outlines
757:M4.1 Compliance Reporting Action Submit to the CEO an annual environmental compliance report relating to the previous twelve-month period, the first report to be submitted within 15 months after the commencement of operations and thereafter annually, unless required by the CEO to report more frequently. Action Submit to the CEO an annual environmental compliance report relating to the previous twelve-month period, the first report to be submitted within 15 months after the commencement of operations and thereafter annually, unless required by the CEO to report on the center of the period of October 2007 annually Reported of October 2007 annually Reported of October 2007 are being met. Evidence: Pluto LNG Project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report to be submitted for the period of October 2007 and the relevant condition plus internal audit results. First report to be submitted by 1 January 2009 deadline. 757:M4.2 Compliance Reporting Action The environmental compliance reports shall address each element of an audit program approved by the CEO and shall be prepared and submitted in a format acceptable to the CEO. Objective: To provide evidence that the proposal is being implemented as approved, and the relevant conditions and commitments.					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Compliance Reporting be submitted within 15 months after the commencement of operations and thereafter annually, unless required by the CEO to report Monually Reported Annually Reported Pluto LNG Project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report submitted for the period of October 2007 - October 2008. Content in are being met. Evidence Pluto LNG Project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report to be submitted for the period of October 2007 - October 2008. Content to include the "Evidence" listed in this audit table against each Ministerial Condition plus internal audit results. First report to be submitted by 1 January 2009 deadline. 757:M4.2 Compliance Reporting Action The environmental compliance reports shall address each element of an audit program approved by the CEO and shall be prepared and submitted in a format acceptable to the CEO. Action The environmental compliance reports shall address each element of an audit program approved by the CEO and shall be prepared and submitted in a format acceptable to the CEO. Action The environmental compliance reports shall address each element of an audit program approved by the CEO and shall be prepared and submitted in a format acceptable to the CEO. Action The environmental compliance reports shall address each element of an audit program approved by the CEO and shall be prepared and submitted in a format acceptable to the CEO. Action The environmental compliance reports shall address each element of an audit program approved by the CEO and shall be prepared and submitted in a format acceptable to the CEO. Action The environmental compliance reports shall address each element of an audit program approved by the CEO and shall be prepared and submitted in a format acceptable to the CEO. Action The environmental compliance reports shall address each element of an audit program approved by the CEO and shall be completed. Completed DEC Completed Action The environmental completed by 1 January 2009. Content to include the "Evidence" listed in t		Evidence Confirm in Pluto LNG Project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report.			
Dijective To provide evidence that the proposal is being implemented as approved, and the relevant conditions and commitments Evidence Pluto LNG Project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report to be submitted for the period of October 2007 - October 2008. Content to include the "Evidence" listed in this audit table against each Ministerial Condition plus internal audit results. First report to be submitted by 1 January 2009. 757:M4.2 Compliance Reporting Action The environmental compliance reports shall address each element of an audit program approved by the CEO and shall be prepared and submitted in a format acceptable to the CEO. Objective To provide evidence that the proposal is being implemented as approved, and the relevant conditions and commitments submitted for the period of October 2007-October "Evidence" listed in this audit table against each Ministerial Condition Report to be submitted by 1 January 2009 deadline. Overall DEC Completed Pluto LNG Project Annual Audit Program was submitted for comment on 28 May 2008. DEC subsequently approved the		be submitted within 15 months after the commencement of operations and thereafter annually, unless required by the CEO to report		DEC	
2008. Content to include the "Evidence" listed in this audit table against each Ministerial Condition plus internal audit results. First report to be submitted by 1 January 2009. 757:M4.2 Compliance Reporting Action The environmental compliance reports shall address each element of an audit program approved by the CEO and shall be prepared and submitted in a format acceptable to the CEO. Pluto LNG Project Annual Audit Program was submitted for comment on 28 May 2008. DEC subsequently approved the					Pluto LNG Project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report was submitted for the period of October 2007-October 2008. Content includes "Evidence" listed in this audit table against each Ministerial Condition.
757:M4.2 Compliance Reporting Action The environmental compliance reports shall address each element of an audit program approved by the CEO and shall be prepared and submitted in a format acceptable to the CEO. Action The environmental compliance reports shall address each element of an audit program approved by the CEO and shall be prepared and submitted in a format acceptable to the CEO. Pluto LNG Project Annual Audit Program was submitted for comment on 28 May 2008. DEC subsequently approved the		2008. Content to include the "Evidence" listed in this audit table against each Ministerial Condition plus internal audit results. First			Report has been submitted by 1 January 2009 deadline.
Objective To provide evidence that the proposal is being implemented as approved, and the relevant conditions and commitments comment on 28 May 2008. DEC subsequently approved the		Action The environmental compliance reports shall address each element of an audit program approved by the CEO and shall be	Overall	DEC	
					Pluto LNG Project Annual Audit Program was submitted for DEC comment on 28 May 2008. DEC subsequently approved the audit program on 30 June 2008.
Evidence Audit Program.		Evidence Audit Program.			

Audit Code Subject	What action must be taken How action must be taken and/or objective of action Objective Evidence that action has been taken	Project phase When action to be taken Where it is to be taken	• To requirements of • On advice from	Status
757:M4.3 Compliance Reporting	Action The environmental compliance reports shall: 1. be endorsed by signature of the proponent's Managing Director or a person, approved in writing by the CEO, delegated to sign on behalf of the proponent's Managing Director; 2. state whether the proponent has complied with each condition and procedure contained in this statement; 3. provide verifiable evidence of compliance with each condition and procedure contained in this statement; 4. state whether the proponent has complied with each key action contained in any environmental management plan or program required by this statement; 5. provide verifiable evidence of conformance with each key action contained in any environmental management plan or program required by this statement; 6. identify all non-compliances and non-conformances and describe the corrective and preventative actions taken in relation to each non-compliance or non-conformance; 7. review the effectiveness of all corrective and preventative actions taken; and 8. describe the state of implementation of the proposal. Objective To demonstrate compliance with Ministerial Conditions. Evidence See condition M4.1.	Overall	DEC	Pluto LNG Project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report 2008 presented for fills requirements of 757:M:4.3 Woodside has complied with each key action contained within any Environmental Management Plan or program required by Woodside's ministerial conditions. Appendix 2 outlines evidence of compliance with key actions of each Management Plan. Identification of all non compliances corrective and preventative actions taken have been provided within Appendix 3.
757:M4.4 Compliance Reporting	Action Make the environmental compliance reports required by Condition 4-1 publicly available in a manner approved by the CEO. How Environmental compliance reports to be made available in the following locations: - the Local Government Authority (2 copies), Battye Library (2 copies); Karratha Public Library (2 copies); and DEC Library Perth (2 copies - 1 hard copy, 1 cd copy)- Copies also to be freely available for download from the Woodside internet site, (availability and locations of compliance reports are to be advertised in the Local newspaper Public Notices). Objective To provide evidence that the proposal is being implemented as approved, and the relevant conditions and commitments are being met. Evidence Letter to DEC Compliance Monitoring Section annually that the compliance report has been advertised as required.	Overall Annually	DEC	In Progress Pluto LNG Project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report 2008 to be made publicly available following submission to Department of Environment and Conservation.
757:M5.1 Performance Review	Action Submit a Performance Review report, every five years after the start of operations to the Environmental Protection Authority, which addresses: 1. the major environmental issues associated with implementing the project; the environmental objectives for those issues; the methodologies used to achieve these; and the key indicators of environmental performance measured against those objectives; 2. the level of progress in the achievement of sound environmental performance, including industry benchmarking, and the use of best available technology where practicable; 3. significant improvements gained in environmental management, including the use of external peer reviews; 4. stakeholder and community consultation about environmental performance and the outcomes of that consultation, including a report of any on-going concerns being expressed; and 5. the proposed environmental objectives over the next five years, including improvements in technology and management processes. How 5-yearly report will be submitted following commencement of operations. Objective To demonstrate compliance with Ministerial Conditions.	Operation Every 5 years	EPA	Not applicable for 2007-2008 reporting period Condition not applicable within Annual Compliance Reporting timeframe.
757:M5.2 Performance Review	Evidence Submit 5-yearly Performance Review report to the EPA. Action Make the Performance Review reports required by condition 5-1 publicly available in a manner approved by the CEO. How Performance Review Reports to be made available in the following locations: - the Local Government Authority (2 copies), Battye Library (2 copies); Karratha Public Library (2 copies); and DEC Library Perth (2 copies - 1 hard copy, 1 cd copy)- Copies also to be freely available for download from the Woodside internet site, (availability and locations of Performance Review reports are to be advertised in the Local newspaper Public Notices). Objective To ensure the public is kept informed.	Operation Every 5 years	DEC	Not applicable for 2007-2008 reporting period Condition not applicable within Annual Compliance Reporting timeframe.
757:M6.1 Marine Impacts	Evidence Letter to DEC Compliance Monitoring Section that the Performance Review Report has been advertised as required. Action: Undertake all works to ensure that the Limits of Coral Loss, specified in Schedule 2 (of Ministerial Statement 757), associated with each of the designated Impact Criteria Zones described and defined in figure 3, are not exceeded. How Implement Best Environmental Practice (BEP) techniques; - Implement the DSDMP specified Water Quality Monitoring Program to identify any decline in water quality and allow contingency management actions to be applied; - Implement the DSDMP specified Coral Health Monitoring Program to identify any net coral mortality and allow contingency management actions to be applied. Objective: To minimise impact of dredging on the marine environment. Evidence: Ongoing provision of Water Quality Reports and Coral Health Reports to the Pluto DEMG on a timely basis for review and overview of status. Results of the above captured in DEMG minutes; Compliance reports to the DEC Compliance Monitoring Section if Level 1, 2 or 3 trigger levels are exceeded.	Construction During Construction	Minister for Environment	In Progress Levels of coral loss as a result of the Pluto LNG Project dredging program are below the limits specified in Schedule 2 of the Ministerial Statement. A comprehensive water quality and coral health monitoring program has been implemented as outlined in the DSDMP. A dedicated internet site has been established for Pluto Dredge Environmental Management Group (DEMG) members to allow timely access to monitoring data. Compliance reports have been provided to the DEC Environmental Regulation Division for all Level 1 and 2 trigger criterion exceedances recorded. Compliance reports have been provided to the Minister for the Environment for all Level 3 trigger criterion exceedances recorded. No criterion exceedances have been attributed to dredging activities to date. The DEMG has been consulted in all instances. Refer to Condition 6-2 to 6-4 for additional detail. Proactive turbidity reduction measures (DSDMP Best Environmental Practice Techniques) have been implemented to reduce the potential for impact. Refer to Appendix 4 for evidence of implementation.

Audit Code Subject	What action must be taken How action must be taken and/or objective of action Objective Evidence that action has been taken	Project phase When action to be taken Where it is to be taken	• To requirements of • On advice from	Status
757:M6.2 Compliance Reporting	Action If any Level 1 Coral Condition Management Trigger Criterion referred to in Schedule 3 is exceeded, within 12 hours following detection of the exceedance, notify the CEO and provide details of the actions being taken to reduce turbidity generating activities which are effecting that site; and within 24 hours of the criterion being exceeded, implement management actions to keep impacts within approved limits specified in schedule 2. How Management actions taken are dependent on circumstances (dredge location, meteorological conditions, tide etc.). Appropriate contingency actions will be selected from those specified in the DSDMP in consultation with the DEMG. Objective To minimise impact of dredging on the marine environment. Evidence Compliance reports to the DEC CEO in the event of a Schedule 3 exceedance; DEMG minutes outlining actions taken and assessment of adequacy.	Construction	DEC	In Progress The DEC CEO has been notified within 12 hours (from detection) of all Level 1 Coral Condition Management Trigger Criterion exceedances that have been recorded to date. No Level 1 Coral Condition Management Trigger Criterion exceedances have occurred in Impact Criteria Zone B. No Level 1 Coral Condition Management Trigger Criterion exceedances in Impact Criteria Zone C (L1C Criterion Exceedances) have been attributed to dredging related activities. No reactive turbidity management has therefore been required. Appendix 5 lists all previously submitted L1C Criterion Exceedance compliance reports and related DEMG advice. An overall review of water quality monitoring results and analysis from Phase 1 of the programme is provided in Appendix 6.
757:M6.3 Compliance Reporting	Action If any Level 2 Coral Condition Management Trigger Criterion referred to in schedule 3 is exceeded at any monitoring site,; 1. immediately suspend all dredging and dredge spoil activities that contributed to the exceedance; 2. provide a report to the CEO on the measures to be implemented to keep impacts below the limits in schedule 2, prior to recommencing any dredging and dredge spoil activities that contributed to the exceedance which could affect that site; and 3. provide a report, on advice of the Dredge Environmental Management Group, defining marine water quality conditions which will be met for the endorsement of the Minister for the Environment on advice of the CEO to allow for the recommencement of dredging to ensure that mortality and / or impacts will not exceed the limits specified in schedule 2. How. The Coral Health Monitoring Program will be maintained with the results made immediately available to the Dredging Environmental Coordinator and Dredging Senior Environmental Advisor. Should an exceedance be identified, the process specified by MC6-3 will be implemented. Objective To minimise impact of dredging on the marine environment. Evidence Notification reports to the CEO in the event of a Schedule 3 exceedance; Investigation reports analysing the exceedance; Reports required by 2 and 3 of MSt:6.3.	Construction	DEC	In Progress The DEC CEO has been notified of all Level 2 Coral Condition Management Trigger Criterion exceedances. No Level 2 Coral Condition Management Trigger Criterion exceedances have occurred in Impact Criteria Zone B. No Level 2 Coral Condition Management Trigger Criterion exceedances in Impact Criteria Zone C (L2C Criterion Exceedances) have been attributed to dredging related activities. No suspension of dredging activities has therefore been required. Elevated sea surface temperatures in the 2007/2008 summer resulted in significant levels of thermal bleaching across a large number of monitoring sites in Impact Criteria Zone C. All Level 2 Coral Condition Management Trigger Criterion Exceedances to date have been attributed to this regional thermal bleaching event. The bleaching event has been assessed by the Pluto DEMG and the James Cook University Centre of Excellence for Coral Reef Studies. Appendix 7 lists all previously submitted L2C Criterion Exceedance compliance reports and related DEMG advice. An overall review of coral monitoring results and analysis from Phase 1 of the programme is provided in Appendix 8.
757:M6.4 Compliance Reporting	Action If any Level 3 Coral Condition Management Trigger Criterion referred to in schedule 3 is exceeded at any monitoring site, 1. immediately suspend all dredging and dredge spoil activities that contributed to the exceedance; and 2. provide a report to the Minister for the Environment regarding the non-compliance with condition 6-1. How. As per Ministerial Condition 6.3 above. Objective To minimise impact of dredging on the marine environment. Evidence Investigation reports analysing the exceedance. Compliance reports to the Minister for the Environment regarding the Schedule 3 exceedance.	Construction	Minister for Environment	In Progress The Minister for the Environment has been notified of all Level 3 Coral Condition Management Trigger Criterion exceedances. No Level 3 Coral Condition Management Trigger Criterion exceedances have occurred in Impact Criteria Zone B. No Level 3 Coral Condition Management Trigger Criterion exceedances in Impact Criteria Zone C (L3C Criterion exceedances) have been attributed to dredging related activities. No suspension of dredging activities has therefore been required. Elevated sea surface temperatures in the 2007/2008 summer resulted in significant levels of thermal bleaching across a large number of monitoring sites in Impact Criteria Zone C. All Level 3 Coral Condition Management Trigger Criterion exceedances to date have been attributed to mortality resulting from this regional thermal bleaching event. The bleaching event has been assessed by the Pluto LNG Project DEMG and the James Cook University Centre of Excellence for Coral Reef Studies. Appendix 9 lists all previously submitted L3C Criterion Exceedance compliance reports and related DEMG advice. An overall review of coral monitoring results and analysis from Phase 1 of the programme is provided in Appendix 8 The James Cook University review of the 2007/2008 coral bleaching event is provided in Appendix 10.

Audit Code	What action must be taken	Project phase	• To	Status
Subject	How action must be taken and/or objective of action	When action to be	requirements	Citato
·		taken	of	
	Evidence that action has been taken	Where it is to be taken	On advice from	
757:M6.5 Marine Impacts	Action Prior to commencement of turbidity-generating activities, prepare a Dredge Impact Management Plan for dredge activities which demonstrates that the activities can achieve the management targets for the Marine Park as set out in the Indicative Management Plan for the Proposed Dampier Archipelago Marine Park and Cape Preston Marine Management Area, and which demonstrates that management strategies will be employed which will minimise impacts on benthic habitats and communities (including corals) outside the Marine Park, to the requirements of the Minister on advice of the Environmental Protection Authority. Further details on the content required in this Plan are provided in schedule 4. How DSDMP (DIMP) developed in consultation with key stakeholders (including DEC, DPA, DoF). Address the following: 1. comprehensive monitoring of water quality, sediment deposition, and coral condition; 2. best practice dredge procedures; 3. selection of a suitable location for the off-shore spoil ground which demonstrably does not cause impacts on the on the Marine Park; 4. optimum timing of works with respect to sea and meteorological conditions; 5. establishment of conservative 'stop work' trigger levels; 6. identification and temporal definition of key ecological windows when dredging activity will not occur, such as during coral spawning periods; and 7. contingency plans. Further details on the content required in this Plan are provided in schedule 4.	Design	Minister for Environment EPA	Completed The Interim Dredge and Spoil Disposal Management plan was assessed against Schedule 4 of the Ministerial Statement, and approved by DEC on 16 October 2007 (DEC ref: 4776). Subsequent amendments to the DSDMP were made at the request of DEC. The Final Dredge and Spoil Disposal Management Plan was approved by DEC on 20 March 2008 (DEC ref: 4610).
l	Evidence Dredge Impact Management Plan.			
757:M6.6	Action Implement the Dredge Impact Management Plan required by Condition 6-5.	Construction	Minister for	In Progress
Marine Impacts	 How. Communicate Legal and Other Requirements to responsible parties (training); Implement an internal audit program involving six monthly audits and Verification Plans for application/review of contractors. Objective To minimise impact of dredging on the marine environment. Evidence Internal audit schedule, audit criteria, and evidence of completion. DEMG minutes. 		Environment	Schedule 4 of the Pluto Ministerial Statement outlines the management intent and key objectives for the DSDMP. The key actions contained in this Plan are those relating to the management of potential turbidity and/or sedimentation impacts on coral communities. The key management actions for turbidity management include those
				directly related to a) management of the dredging programme based on water quality and coral condition monitoring results (including spawning assessments); and b) best environmental practice dredging techniques to proactively manage turbidity generation. Evidence for a) is provided against Condition 6-2 to 6-4 of this report and has therefore not been repeated against this condition. Evidence of implementation for other key management actions contained in the DSDMP is provided in Appendix 2.
757:M6.7	Action Make the Dredge Impact Management Plan required by condition 6-5 publicly available in a manner approved by the CEO.	Construction	DEC	Completed
Marine Impacts	How. Dredge Impact Management Plan to be made available in the following locations: - the Local Government Authority (2 copies), Battye Library (2 copies); Karratha Public Library (2 copies); and DEC Library Perth (2 copies - 1 hard copy, 1 cd copy)- Copies also to be freely available for download from the Woodside internet site, (availability and locations of the Dredge Impact Management Plan are to be advertised in the Local newspaper Public Notices).			The DSDMP was made publicly available on the Woodside internet site (http://www.woodside.com.au/Regions/Australia+and+Asia/Development +Opportunities/Pluto/Approval+Process/Environmental+Approval.htm).
	Objective To ensure that the public is kept informed.			
757:M6.8	Evidence Evidence of advertisement of Dredge Impact Management Plan. Action Resource a Dredge Environmental Management Group for the duration of the marine works and for such time before and	Overall	Minister for	In Progress
Marine Impacts	After the marine works so as to carry out its function, to the requirements of the Minister for the Environment. How. The role of the Dredge Environmental Management Group is to provide the Minister for the Environment, the Department of Environment and Conservation and the proponent with advice including, but not limited to: 1. the marine management plans; 2. the marine monitoring programs; 3. the management of turbidity-generating activities and marine works; 4. impacts on marine fauna and flora, including corals; 5. reporting; 6. new management measures and 7. Level 1 and 2 Coral Condition Management Trigger Criteria for Zone C as required in Schedule 3. The membership of the Dredge Environmental Management Group may include: an independent chair appointed by the Minister for the Environment on advice from the CEO, and experts appointed by the Minister for the Environment, and the following may nominate one member each; the Department of Fisheries; the Dampier Port Authority; the Department of Environment and Conservation; and the proponent. Objective To minimise impact of dredging on the marine environment. Evidence Letters of appointment for the DEMG Chair and members from the Minister for the Environment. DEMG minutes.	For the duration of the Marine Works and for such time before and after the marine works so as to carry out its function.	Environment	Woodside provided DEC with a list of proposed DEMG members on 9 October 2007 (WBPL ref: PLU/GOV/000142). The final composition of the group was finalised via email correspondence between Ms Soolim Carney (WBPL) and Mr Richard Sutherland (EPASU) on 5 November 2007. Dr Des Lord was appointed DEMG Chair in correspondence from the Minister for the Environment dated 28 November 2007. Following establishment of the DEMG, two Independent Expert members in the fields of marine ecology and dredging were nominated by the DEMG. The Minister for the Environment endorsed these Independent Experts in correspondence dated 3 April 2008 (sender ref: 21-012858). The First DEMG meeting occurred on 10 December 2007 and subsequent meetings have been held approximately monthly throughout the Phase 1 dredging programme. The meeting frequency has been reduced between phases.
				DEMG advice listed as evidence for Conditions 6-2 to 6-4 demonstrates effective DEMG function on the Pluto Project.

Audit Code Subject	What action must be taken How action must be taken and/or objective of action Objective Evidence that action has been taken	Project phase When action to be taken Where it is to be taken	• To requirements of • On advice from	Status
757:M6.9 Marine Impacts	Action Prepare and submit to the Department of Environment and Conservation, a scope of Baseline Marine Habitat Survey document to the requirements of the Minister for the Environment. The objective of this document is to specify procedures to quantitatively determine the pre-development baseline distribution, community composition and health of benthic marine habitats (see note below) within the area which may be affected by any works associated with the proposal. Note: "Marine habitats" includes hard and soft coral communities, sponge communities, seagrass and macro-algal communities. How. Address the following: 1. survey methods; 2. location and establishment of survey sites; 3. timing and frequency of surveys; 4. habitat classification schemes; 5. treatment of survey data; and 6. mapping methodologies. Objective To determine the baseline distribution, community composition and health of benthic marine habitats within the area. Evidence. DEC approval/endorsement of the Scope of Baseline Marine Habitat Survey document	Design Prior to commencement of marine works	Minister for Environment DEC	In line with requirements, a Scope of Baseline Marine Habitat Survey document was prepared and submitted to the DEC under cover letter on 6 November 2007. Written advice and comments on the submitted report were received from DEC's A/ Director of the Environmental Impact Assessment Division on 21 November 2007. Further written comments were received from the DEC dated 27 November 2008. In response to the DEC's comments, the Scope of Baseline Marine Habitat Survey was updated and re-submitted to DEC on 4 December 2008. Woodside submitted a further version of the document to DEC on 18 December 2007. This document was provided also to the Chair of the Dredging Environmental Management Group on 18 December 2007 and to DEC's James Treloar, on 19 December 2007. At a meeting with DEC on 11 February 2008, DEC requested that statements be removed from the document relating to repeat surveys required under separate Conditions (6-12 to 6-14) and advised WEL to resubmit the document for approval. In response, WEL submitted a revised document 29 February 2008 under cover letter dated 24 February 2008. In response, a letter of advice was received from DEC's A/Director, Environmental Impact Assessment Division on 21 May 2008 advising that the "Scope of Benthic Marine Habitat Survey: Scoping Document, revision 3, 29 February 2008" prepared to meet requirements of Condition 6-9 was considered to be acceptable
757:M6.10 Compliance Reporting	Action Provide an initial report on a detailed survey of coral habitat and communities, and a map showing the general distribution of other benthic habitat types (including soft corals, sponges, algal reef communities) within and adjacent to the area of predicted effects of dredging to the Department of Environment and Conservation at least one month prior to the commencement of dredging. Objective To minimise impact of dredging on the marine environment. Evidence Initial report on detailed survey of coral habitat and communities and map showing general distribution of other benthic habitat types. .	Design 1 Month Prior to dredging construction	DEC	Completed The report of a detailed survey of coral habitat and communities was prepared and submitted to the DEC on 8 October 2007. The content of the report addressed required scope and submission deadline outlined in the Ministerial Statement. Written advice was received from A/ Director of the Environmental Impact Assessment Division of DEC on 21 November 2007 advising that "The Coral Habitat Survey" has been provided to the DEC, thus meeting the requirements of Condition 6-10." The DEC advice included a number of comments to improve the document. In response to DEC's 21 November 2007 advice, the report was resubmitted to the DEC on 4 December 2007. Further comments on the report were received from DEC on 22 January 2008. The DEC's January 2008 advice concluded: "The report generally satisfies Condition 6-10, it does not provide the level of information originally required through Condition 6-11 for the coral communities. It is therefore essential that WEL include hard coral communities in the Baseline Habitat Survey required through Condition 6-11." Refer to 757:M6.11 for information on Condition 6-11.

Audit Code Subject	What action must be taken How action must be taken and/or objective of action	Project phase When action to be	• To requirements	Status								
· Jubject	Objective Evidence that action has been taken	taken • Where it is to be taken	of • On advice									
757:M6.11	Action Conduct a comprehensive field survey, consistent with the approved Scope of Baseline Marine Habitat Survey document, and	Construction	from DEC	Completed								
Compliance Reporting	provide a report of the results to the Department of Environment and Conservation within twelve months following commencement of any marine works associated with the proposal. How. This report shall 1. contain spatially accurate (e.g. rectified and geographically referenced) maps showing the locations and spatial extent of the different marine habitat types and percentage cover of each component of their associated benthic communities including corals, macro algae, non-coral macro-invertebrates and seagrass: 2. record the existing hard and soft corals, macro-algae, non-coral benthic macro invertebrates, seagrass and demersal fish observed within the communities; 3. record the population structure, as size class frequency distributions, and other population statistics, such as recruitment, survival and growth, of key hard coral species; 4. evaluate baseline pre-development health of the benthic communities at representative survey sites; and 5. include data provided in an appropriate GIS data set format. Objective To minimise impact of dredging on the marine environment.	commencement of dredging works ies ae,	commencement of	commencement of	commencement of	commencement of	commencement of	commencement of	commencement of	commencement of		A field survey to address specific requirements of Condition 6-11 consistent with the DEC-approved scope of baseline survey under Condition 6-9 (refer to 757:M6.9) was commenced and undertaken over 12 months, from November 2007 to November 2008. The survey scope comprised extensive and intensive surveys and monitoring of marine habitats within and adjacent to the predicted area of influence of Pluto LNG Project dredging in Mermaid Sound. The survey involved implementation of airborne and vessel-based physical surveys, vessel-based and diver-based biological surveys, establishment of permanent monitoring sites, assessment of habitat condition, classification and mapping of marine habitats and reporting of findings.
	Evidence Report on the results of the comprehensive field survey that is consistent with the Scope of Baseline Marine Habitat Survey document.			The field plans for the survey were developed and discussed in consultation with the DEC and the DEMG. The field work was implemented to meet the scope required and approved by DEC in May 2008 (refer to 757:M6.9).								
				The Baseline Marine Habitat Survey was originally to be delivered within 6 months of the start of marine works. Pluto marine dredging works commenced in November 2007. Up to a 6 month extension on the original delivery date was granted by letter from the A/Director, Environmental Impact Assessment Division of DEC on 21 May 2008. This advice revised the required delivery deadline for Condition 6-11 to "no later than 12 months from the commencement of marine works". (i.e. no later than November 2008).								
				Airborne physical survey data were acquired in November 2007. Vessel-based physical survey data were acquired March-April 2008. Initial biological surveys to identify and establish early monitoring sites were commenced, on DEC advice, before physical survey data were available. Remaining biological surveys to establish a full suite of sites were conducted between July and September 2008, supported by acquired physical survey data. Acquisition of all field data was completed in September 2008.								
				Data processing, habitat classification and mapping and reporting were completed in September-November 2008.								
				The final report to address specific requirements of Condition 6-11 was submitted to DEC on 21 November 2008. DEC acknowledged receipt of the report on 25 November 2008, noting that it was submitted within the required timeframe.								
757:M6.12 Compliance Reporting	Action Within three months following completion of the marine works, repeat the Comprehensive Field Survey required by condition 6-11, and submit a report on the results of that survey to the Department of Environment and Conservation. This will constitute the first Post-Dredging Marine Habitat Survey, reporting any changes which may have occurred between the Baseline Marine Habitat Survey and the first Post-Dredging Marine Habitat Survey.	Post-construction Within 3 months of dredging completion	DEC	Not applicable for 2007-2008 reporting period Condition not applicable at conclusion of Annual Compliance Reporting timeframe.								
	Objective To minimise impact of dredging on the marine environment.											
757:M6.13 Marine Impacts	Evidence See M6.14. Action Repeat the Post-Dredging Marine Habitat Survey referred to in condition 6-12, at the same time of the year annually for three years, or until such time, as determined by the Minister for the Environment on advice of the Department of Environment and Conservation and the Department of Fisheries.		e of the 3 years, as as lee Environment Condition not applicable at conclusion of Annutimeframe.	Not applicable for 2007-2008 reporting period Condition not applicable at conclusion of Annual Compliance Reporting timeframe.								
	How A Post-Dredging Marine Habitat Survey shall be constructed in accordance with the approved Scope of Baseline Habitat Survey.											
	Objective To minimise impact of dredging on the marine environment.											
757:M6.14 Compliance Reporting	Evidence See M6.14. Action Within three months following completion of each of the surveys required by conditions 6-12 and 6-13, the proponent shall report the findings of each of the surveys to the Department of Environment and Conservation.	Post-construction Within 3 months of dredging completion and	DEC	Not applicable for 2007-2008 reporting period								
	Objective To report progress of subsequent surveys.	annually for a following 3 years		Condition not applicable at conclusion of Annual Compliance Reporting timeframe.								
	Evidence 1) Findings of Post-Dredging Marine Habitat Survey initially at least 3 months following completion of marine works, then 2) Within three months following completion of each of the surveys required under condition 6-13.											

Audit Code Subject	What action must be taken How action must be taken and/or objective of action Objective Evidence that action has been taken	Project phase When action to be taken Where it is to be taken	• To requirements of • On advice from	Status
757:M7.1 Deepwater Marine Outfall	Action If a marine wastewater discharge is required by the proponent, the proponent shall construct the associated infrastructure so that wastewater is discharged into water of depth greater than 30 meters outside the Dampier Archipelago, unless otherwise determined by the CEO under Part V of the Act. How A Marine Treated Wastewater Discharge Management Plan will be developed and the appropriate infrastructure constructed to	Overall	Minister for Environment	In Progress Marine Treated Wastewater Discharge Management Plan (MTWDMP) has been developed and was submitted to DEC on 13 June 2008 and resubmitted on 17 July 2008. The management plan is being finalised in
	accommodate waste water discharge.		consultation with the DEC.	
757:M7.2 Deepwater Marine Outfall	Evidence DEC Works Approval - if Marine Wastewater discharge is required, evidence that wastewater discharge structure is discharging into water of depth greater than 30 metres. Action Prior to construction of the wastewater treatment plant or the marine outfall, whichever is the sooner, the proponent, in consultation with the Department of Environment and Conservation, shall prepare a Marine Treated Wastewater Discharge Management Plan to the requirements of the Minister for the Environment on advice of the Environmental Protection Authority. How. Address the following: 1. determination of the effect of wastewater flow rate on the number of dilutions the diffuser is predicted to achieve within the zone of initial dilution at maximum flow rate; 2. setting of environmental values, environmental quality objectives and levels of ecological protection to be achieved around the outfall; 3. identification of a range of feasible and practical management options and the environmental quality indicators and associated "trigger" levels for the implementation of remedial, management and/or preventative actions to protect the water quality and the marine environment based on the guidelines and recommended approaches in ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000); 4. Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) testing of wastewater, consistent with ANZECC requirements, and addressing the items in schedule 5 (attached); 5. redesign and incorporation of a new diffuser, including timelines, in the event that the WET testing results show that the original wastewater diffuser is not achieving sufficient dilutions to meet a high level of ecological protection at the edge of the mixing zone; 6. verification of diffuser performance in terms of achieving the required number of initial dilutions under low energy/calm meteorological and sea-state conditions to achieve a high level of ecosystem protection (99% species protection) at the edge of the approved mixing zone; 7. a monitoring program to permit determination of whether the water quality objectives as des	Design	Minister for Environment EPA, DEC	Woodside's proposal is to discharge treated wastewater via the Water Corporation's multi user brineline. This proposal is currently being assessed under the Part V process by the Department of Environment and Conservation. In Progress The MTWDMP has been developed and was submitted to DEC on 13 June 2008 and resubmitted on 17 July 2008. The management plan is being finalised in consultation with the DEC.
757:M7.3 Deepwater Marine Outfall	the ocean outlet; and Maintenance of Industrial Water Supply. Evidence Marine Treated Wastewater Discharge Management Plan. Action Implement the Marine Treated Wastewater Discharge Management Plan required by condition 7-2. Objective To minimise environmental impacts and apply relevant technology to the project.	Operation	Minister for Environment	In Progress Implementation of the MTWDMP will occur once approval has been
757:M7.4 Deepwater Marine Outfall	Evidence Pluto LNG Project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report 2008. Action Make the Marine Treated Wastewater Discharge Management Plan required by condition 7-2 publicly available. How Marine Treated Wastewater Discharge Management Plan to be made available in the following locations: - the Local Government Authority (2 copies), Battye Library (2 copies); Karratha Public Library (2 copies); and DEC Library Perth (1 hard copy, 1 cd copy)- Copies also to be freely available for download from the Woodside internet site, (availability and locations of Marine Treated Wastewater Discharge Management Plan are to be advertised in the Local newspaper Public Notices).	Construction Construction	Minister for Environment	In Progress The MTWDMP will be made publicly available once formally approved.
757:M7.5 Deepwater Marine Outfall	Objective To ensure the public is kept informed. Evidence Copy of advertisement of Marine Treated Wastewater Discharge Management Plan. Action Prior to submitting a Works Approval application for the wastewater treatment plant 1. characterise in detail the physical and chemical composition and flow rates of all wastewater streams within the site and, using the toxicity of mixtures principles, predict the theoretical toxicity of the combined wastewater after treatment; 2. determine, for all contaminants and nutrients, the total annual loads of contaminants and nutrients in the wastewater discharge exiting the site; and 3. determine, for normal and worst-case conditions, the concentrations of contaminants and nutrients (for agreed averaging periods) in the wastewater discharge exiting the site. Objective To minimise the environmental impact associated with wastewater discharge.	Design Prior to submitting a Works Approval application for the wastewater treatment plant	Minister for Environment	In Progress Characterisation of the wastewater stream, assessment of toxicity, total loadings are outlined in MTWDMP which was submitted to DEC on 13 June 2008 and resubmitted on 17 July 2008.
757:M7.6 Deepwater Marine Outfall	Evidence Approval from DEC of MTWDMP. Action Prior to submitting a Works Approval application for the wastewater treatment plant, demonstrate that the wastewater discharge will meet "best practicable technology" and waste minimisation principles for contaminants and nutrients. How A review of current Best Environmental Practice (BEP) will be conducted to ensure that the most up to date technology is being utilised. This review will be outlined in the Marine Treated Waste Water Discharge Management Plan. Objective To demonstrate best practice in wastewater treatment and discharge. Evidence Approval from DEC of MTWDMP, Works Approval granted from DEC.	Design Prior to submitting a Works Approval Application for the Wastewater Treatment Plant.	Minister for Environment	In Progress Demonstration of Pluto LNG Project's best practice technology for wastewater management is outlined in MTWDMP which was submitted to DEC on 13 June 2008 and resubmitted on 17 July 2008.

Audit Code	What action must be taken	Project phase	• То	Status
• Subject	How action must be taken and/or objective of action	When action to be	requirements	
	• Objective	taken	of	
	Evidence that action has been taken	Where it is to be taken	On advice from	
757:M7.7:1 Deepwater Marine Outfall	Action Prior to submitting a Works Approval application for the wastewater treatment plant, design, and subsequently operate, plant and equipment on the site such that: 1. the contaminant concentrations in the wastewater effluent from the site, just prior to entry to the wastewater discharge system, meet (in order of preference): the ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) 99% species protection level: or the ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) 99% species protection level at the edge of an approved mixing zone; 2. the concentrations of contaminants in the wastewater effluent which can potentially bio-accumulate/bio-concentrate meet the ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) 80% species protection trigger levels just prior to entry into the wastewater discharge system; and 3. mass balances and inventories of toxicants can be maintained throughout the life of the plant so that their fate can be traced. How The proponent shall demonstrate that the proposed discharge meets the Ministerial Condition 7-7 via modelling. This will be outlined in the Marine Treated Wastewater Discharge Management Plan. Objective To minimise the environmental impact associated with wastewater discharge.	Design	DEC	In Progress Design and operation requirements of the wastewater treatment plant are outlined in MTWDMP which was submitted to DEC on 13 June 2008 and resubmitted on 17 July 2008.
757:M7.7:2 Deepwater Marine Outfall	Evidence Approval from DEC of MTWDMP. Action Operate the Wastewater Treatment Plant such that: 1. the contaminant concentrations in the wastewater effluent from the site, just prior to entry to the wastewater discharge system, meet (in order of preference): the ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) 99% species protection level: or the ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) 99% species protection level at the edge of an approved mixing zone; 2. the concentrations of contaminants in the wastewater effluent which can potentially bio-accumulate/bio-concentrate meet the ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) 80% species protection trigger levels just prior to entry into the wastewater discharge system; and 3. mass balances and inventories of toxicants can be maintained throughout the life of the plant so that their fate can be traced.	Operation	DEC	Not applicable for 2007-2008 reporting period Condition not applicable - Wastewater treatment plant is not yet operational.
	Evidence Pluto LNG Project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report 2008.			
757:M7.8 Compliance Reporting	Action Within three months following commissioning and stabilising of plant operations, conduct an analysis of effluent properties and contaminant concentrations, to an analytical limit of reporting agreed by the Department of Environment and Conservation, demonstrating that they are substantially consistent with predictions.	Operation Within 3 months following commissioning	DEC	Not applicable for 2007-2008 reporting period Condition not applicable – Report will be conducted within 3 months following commissioning.
	How A Report will be prepared on the analysis of effluent properties and contaminant concentrations in consultation with DEC.			following continues in ing.
	Objective To demonstrate that Woodside waste water concentrations are substantially consistent with predictions.			
	Evidence Effluent characterisation report.			
757:M7.9 Deepwater Marine Outfall	Action Develop a Contingency Wastewater Management Plan which considers alternate options for wastewater disposal in the event that the Environmental Quality Objectives are not met as determined through Whole Effluent Toxicity testing, diffuser performance monitoring or environmental quality monitoring, to the requirements of the Minister for the Environment.	Construction During Construction	Minister for Environment	In Progress Contingency Wastewater Management Plan (CWMP) has been provided within MTWDMP – Chapter 4.
	How Alternative waste management plan will be designed.			'
	Objective To anticipate impacts and manage those which are unforeseen.			The MTWDMP has been developed and was submitted to DEC on 13 June 2008 and resubmitted on 17 July 2008. The management plan is being finalised in consultation with the DEC.
757.M7.40	Evidence Contingency Wastewater Management Plan.	Construction	Minister for	Not applicable for 2007 2009 reporting period
757:M7.10 Deepwater Marine Outfall	Action In the event that the treatment plant malfunctions or goes off-line, the proponent shall include within the Contingency Wastewater Management Plan required by condition 7-9 alternative options for wastewater disposal to the timing and other requirements of the Minister for the Environment.	Construction During Construction	Minister for Environment	Not applicable for 2007-2008 reporting period Condition not applicable – CWMP not yet required for use as wastewater
	How Practices will be changed to the methods of the Contingency Waste Management Plan.			treatment plant is not operational.
	Objective Preparation for contingency events.			
757:M7.11 Deepwater Marine Outfall	Evidence Contingency Wastewater Management Plan. Action In the event that the Environmental Quality Objectives are not being met, the proponent shall implement the Contingency Wastewater Management Plan required by condition 7-9.	Operation	Minister for Environment	Not applicable for 2007-2008 reporting period
	How Action will be taken from Contingency Wastewater Management Plan.			Condition not applicable – CWMP not yet required for use as wastewater treatment plant is not operational.
	Objective Preparation for contingency events.			
757:M7.12 Deepwater Marine Outfall	Evidence Pluto LNG Project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report - Report on alternate action to the DEC. Action Review and revise the Contingency Wastewater Management Plan required by condition 7-9, as and when directed by the CEO.	Operation	DEC	Not applicable for 2007-2008 reporting period
Doopwater Mainte Outlan	Objective Preparation for contingency events.			Condition not applicable – CWMP not yet required for use as Wastewater treatment plant is not operational.
	Evidence Revised Contingency Wastewater Management Plan (if required).			
	Extractive Newson Contingency Wastewater Management Flan (II required).	1	1	

• Audit Code • Subject 757:M7.13 Deepwater Marine Outfall	What action must be taken How action must be taken and/or objective of action Objective Evidence that action has been taken Action Make any revisions of the Contingency Wastewater Management Plan, as required by condition 7-12, publicly available in a manner approved by the CEO. How Revisions of the Contingency Wastewater Management Plan to be made available in the following locations: - the Local Government Authority (2 copies), Battye Library (2 copies); Karratha Public Library (2 copies); and DEC Library Perth (1 hard copy, 1 cd copy)- Copies also to be freely available for download from the Woodside internet site, (availability and locations of revisions of Contingency Wastewater Management Plan are to be advertised in the Local newspaper Public Notices). Objective To ensure that the public is kept informed. Evidence Evidence that the plan has been made publicly available as required.	Project phase When action to be taken Where it is to be taken Operation Ongoing	• To requirements of • On advice from DEC	Not applicable for 2007-2008 reporting period Condition not applicable – CWMP not yet required for use as Wastewater treatment plant is not operational
757:M8.1 Marine Quarantine	Action Prior to commencement of dredging, prepare and implement a Marine Quarantine Management Plan, to the requirements of the Minister for the Environment. Objective To prevent marine pest introduction to the waters adjacent to the proposal. Evidence Marine Quarantine Management Plan developed in consultation with DoF and DEC.	Design Before dredging	Minister for Environment EPA	In Progress The Marine Quarantine Management Plan was initially submitted to DEC on 7 November 2007 (WBPL ref: PLU/GOV/000147) following consultation with the DoF and DEC. DEC approved the plan for dredging activities on 21 November 2007. A revision of the plan is required prior to operation of the LNG facility. Marine Quarantine Management Plan has been implemented for all dredge vessels and dredging related vessels and equipment associated with the Pluto LNG Project. Refer to Condition 8.2 for evidence of MQMP implementation.
757:M8.2 Marine Quarantine	Action Within 48 hours following entry of dredging equipment and/or other vessels associated with dredging into the Port of Dampier, the proponent shall: 1. for vessels originating from Ports outside of State waters, arrange for an inspection and clearance by an appropriately qualified marine scientist; 2. for vessels originating from Ports within State waters, provide evidence of; a) the vessel being fully cleaned of fouling organisms and sediments immediately prior to departure for the Port of Dampier; or b) inspection of the vessel at the point of departure for the Port of Dampier immediately prior to departure; or c) a risk assessment based on the history of the vessel, its characteristics and use during the implementation of the proposal, to the requirements of the Minister for the Environment on advice of the Environmental Protection Authority. Objective To prevent marine pest introduction. Evidence See condition 8-4.	Construction	Minister for Environment DEC	In Progress All dredging related vessels and equipment utilised have been assessed in accordance with the procedures specified in the Marine Quarantine Management Plan. A total of 5 dredging related vessels and their associated equipment have been assessed to date prior to use on the Pluto Project: Cornelis Zanen (Trailer Suction Hopper Dredge); Phoenix (Cutter Suction Dredge); Hippopotes (Backhoe Dredge); Yarra River (Split Hopper Barge); Murray River (Split Hopper Barge). No invasive marine species have been identified, and all vessels have been assessed as 'low risk' by the DoF. A register of previous compliance reporting correspondence that documents the assessment and clearance process for these vessels is provided in Appendix 11.
757:M8.3 Marine Quarantine	Action Prior to commencement of operations develop and implement an appropriate protocol for inspection and clearance of vessels during the operational phase of the proposal. Objective To prevent marine pest introduction Evidence An Operations Marine Quarantine Management Protocol developed in consultation with and approved by DoF and DEC.	Construction During Construction	DEC	Completed A Marine Quarantine Protocol was developed as part of the Marine Quarantine Management Plan which was approved by DEC Environmental Impact Assessment Division on 21 November 2007 following advice from the Department of Fisheries.
757:M8.4 Compliance Reporting	Action Prior to the commencement of dredging, the proponent shall report to the Department of Environment and Conservation on the results of the inspection referred to in Condition 8-2. How Inspections of vessels originating from outside of State waters will be reported to DEC within 48h through the process specified in the Marine Quarantine Management Plan. Objective To prevent marine pest introduction. Evidence Inspection report.	Design Prior to commencement of dredging	DEC DoF, AQIS	In Progress Please refer to 757:M8.2.

Audit Code	What action must be taken	Project phase	• То	Status
Subject	How action must be taken and/or objective of action Objective	When action to be taken	requirements of	
	• Evidence that action has been taken	Where it is to be taken	On advice from	
757:M8.5 Marine Quarantine	Action Manage any sediment or fouling organisms found as a consequence of the inspection required by condition 8-2, to the timing and other requirements of the Minister for the Environment.	Construction	Minister for Environment	In Progress
	How The Marine Pest Management Strategy (Contingency) specified in the Marine Quarantine Management Plan will be implemented in the event that a Marine Species of Concern is identified during an arrival inspection.		DoF	No fouling organisms have been identified on Pluto dredging related vessels and equipment.
	Objective Minimise environmental impacts associated with potential marine pest introduction.			Please refer to 757:M8.2.
757:M8.6 Marine Quarantine	Evidence Marine Pest Management Strategy specified in the Marine Quarantine Management Plan approved by DoF and DEC; Communication with DoF and DEC in the event a marine species of concern is identified. Infestation survey plans approved by DoF. Action If following the completion of dredging and disposal activities, the dredging equipment is to be transferred to another location within Western Australia's territorial waters, undertake an investigation employing an appropriately qualified marine scientist to identify the presence of/the potential for introduced marine pests, to the requirements of the Minister for the Environment.	Construction	Minister for Environment DoF, AQIS	In Progress No dredging vessels have required departure inspections to date as
	Objective To prevent pest contamination of other Australian Ports.			vessel destinations have all been outside Western Australia:
	Evidence If required, Investigation reports prepared by a suitably qualified marine scientist for all dredging related vessels and equipment that are to be transferred to another location within WA territorial waters.			The TSHD 'Cornelus Zanen' departed for Melbourne following completion of Pluto Phase 1 works and the CSD 'Phoenix' departed for Bahrain (Middle East) as outlined in correspondence to the DoF and DEC on 17 April 2008 (WBPL ref: PLU/GOV/00224).
				The BHD 'Hippopotes' left Dampier Port temporarily for work in Wadeye (Northern Territory), therefore a departure inspection was not required as outlined in correspondence to DEC on 13 August 2008 (WBPL ref: PLU/GOV/00277). The BHD 'Hippopotes' has subsequently returned to the project and was inspected on arrival (refer to 757:M8.2 for additional information) and currently remains in Dampier Port working on the Pluto LNG Project.
757:M8.7	Action In the event that any introduced marine pests are detected (see condition 8-5), the proponent shall put in place a Marine	Construction	Minister for	In Progress
Compliance Reporting	Pests Management Strategy to ensure that introduced marine pests are not transferred to other locations within Western Australia's territorial waters, to the requirements of the Minister for the Environment. Note: In the preparation of the report required by condition 8-4, and in the development of any actions required by conditions 8-4 to 8-6, the Environmental Protection Authority expects that advice of the following agencies will be obtained: Department of Fisheries; and Australian Quarantine Inspection Service.		Environment DoF, AQIS	No introduced marine pests have been detected. Refer to 757:M8.2 for additional information.
	Objective Minimise environmental impacts associated with potential marine pest introduction to other locations in Western Australia's territorial waters.			
	Evidence If required, Marine Pest Management Strategy specified in the Marine Quarantine Management Plan.			
757:M8.8 Compliance Reporting	Action For the life of the project, notify the Department of Environment and Conservation, the Department of Fisheries and the Dampier Port Authority of any non-indigenous species detected in the waters adjacent to the project within 24 hours following	Overall Within 24 hours of	DEC, DoF, DPA	In Progress
	detection.	detection		No introduced marine pests have been detected. Refer to 757:M8.2 for additional information.
	Objective To keep DEC, DPA and DoF informed.			
757.140.0.4	Evidence Notification reports of any non-indigenous species detected in waters adjacent to the project	O - m - t m - e ti - m	B Alicelator of	lu Paramara
757:M8.9:1 Marine Quarantine	Action In the event that non-indigenous species introduced by the proponent are detected during dredging, the proponent shall take immediate action to prevent establishment and proliferation and shall take action to control and eradicate them to the requirements of the Minister for the Environment.	Construction	Minister for Environment	In Progress No introduced marine pests have been detected. Refer to 757:M8.2 for additional information.
	Objective To prevent infestation of pest species.			additional information.
	Evidence Immediate notifications to DoF, DEC and DPA; Report actions to prevent establishment and proliferation of non-indigenous species and action to control and eradicate them.			
757:M8.9:2 Marine Quarantine	Action In the event that non-indigenous species introduced by the proponent are detected during operation, the proponent shall take immediate action to prevent establishment and proliferation and shall take action to control and eradicate them to the requirements of the Minister for the Environment.	Operation	Minister for Environment	In Progress No introduced marine pests have been detected. Refer to 757:M8.2 for
	Objective To prevent infestation of pest species.			additional information.
	Evidence Immediate notifications to DoF, DEC and DPA. Report actions to prevent establishment and proliferation of non-indigenous species and action to control and eradicate them.			

* Audit Code * Subject 757:M9.1 Turtle Management and Monitoring	What action must be taken How action must be taken and/or objective of action Objective Evidence that action has been taken Action Prepare a Turtle Management Plan. This Plan shall: 1. identify project-related stressors, causes of environmental impacts and potential consequences for marine turtles (including impact of noise, vibration, light overspill and glow, vessel strike, and changes to coastal processes); and 2. identify and demonstrate the effectiveness of proposed management measures to mitigate [as defined in Environmental Protection Authority Guidance Statement 9] project-related impacts and consequences for marine turtles. How This Plan shall: 1. identify project-related stressors, causes of environmental impacts and potential consequences for marine turtles (including impact of noise, vibration, light overspill and glow, vessel strike, and changes to coastal processes); and 2. identify and demonstrate the effectiveness of proposed management measures to mitigate [as defined in Environmental Protection Authority Guidance Statement 9] project-related impacts and consequences for marine turtles. Objective To provide a management framework to enable the proponent to manage the project so as to detect and mitigate as necessary ["mitigate" as defined in Environmental Protection Authority Guidance Statement 9] any impact upon marine turtles from the project and to identify darkness strategies to reduce as far as possible lights or light glow interfering with nesting female turtles and hatchlings.	Project phase When action to be taken Where it is to be taken Design Prior to commencement of works	• To requirements of • On advice from Minister for Environment DEC	Completed Sea Turtle Management Plan (STMP) was submitted to DEC on 25 September 2007 (WBPL ref: PLU/GOV/00135) and approved on 8 October 2007. The approval of the STMP was conditional upon submission of additional information regarding the lighting and operational phases of the Pluto development. The Operational Lighting Protocol was submitted to DEC on 4 April 2008 and was approved on 7 November 2008.
757:M9.2 Turtle Management and Monitoring	Evidence See Turtle Management Plan. Action Implement the Turtle Management Plan required by condition 9-1. How Communicate Legal and Other Requirements to responsible parties (training); Implement an internal audit program involving six monthly audits and Verification Plans for application/review of contractors. Objective To minimise environmental impacts and apply relevant technology to the project. Evidence Internal audit schedule, audit criteria, and evidence of completion. DEMG minutes. IFO report.	Overall	Minister for Environment	In Progress The Sea Turtle Management Plan has been successfully implemented. Appendix 2 outlines compliance with key actions contained in the Sea Turtle Management Plan. As required by Pluto's Sea Turtle Management Plan, Woodside engaged an Independent Fauna Observer (IFO) prior to the commencement of the dredging scope. Refer to Appendix 12 for compliance verification.
757:M9.3 Turtle Management and Monitoring	Action Make the Turtle Management Plan required by condition 9-1 publicly available in a manner approved by the CEO. How Turtle Management Plan to be made available in the following locations: - the Local Government Authority (2 copies), Battye Library (2 copies); Karratha Public Library (2 copies); and DEC Library Perth (1 hard copy, 1 cd copy)- Copies also to be freely available for download from the Woodside internet site, (availability and locations of plan are to be advertised in the Local newspaper Public Notices). Objective To ensure public is kept informed. Evidence Evidence of advertisement of Turtle Management Plan.	Construction	DEC	Completed The STMP was made available on the Woodside internet site (http://www.woodside.com.au/Regions/Australia+and+Asia/Development +Opportunities/Pluto/Approval+Process/Environmental+Approval.htm). Through correspondence on 15 October 2007, DEC acknowledged, the STMP had been appropriately advertised to meet condition 9.3 on Ministerial Statement 757.
757:M9.4 Turtle Management and Monitoring	Action Review the Turtle Management Plan required by condition 9-1 annually to the requirements of the Minister for the Environment. Objective To minimise environmental impacts on turtles. Evidence Report outcomes of review in Annual compliance report.	Overall Annually	Minister for Environment	In Progress Turtle Management Plan was reviewed continuously through Phase 1 of the dredging programme. DEC was consulted during the review process as detailed in correspondence dated 7 May 2008 (WBPL ref: PLU/GOV/00241). Following this review, revision 9 of Sea Turtle Management Plan was approved on 7 November 2008.
757:M9.5 Compliance Reporting	Action Report any mortality of marine turtles or other threatened or specially protected marine fauna to the Department of Environment and Conservation within 24 hours following observation. Objective To keep DEC informed on project progress and issues. Evidence Incident reports as per Appendix D of the STMP.	Overall Within 24 hours of an incident	DEC	In Progress No mortality of marine turtles or other specially protected marine fauna has occurred.
757:M10.1 Indigenous Heritage	Action Prepare, in liaison with the Department of Indigenous Affairs, and submit to the Department of Environment and Conservation, a Cultural Heritage Management Plan. How This Plan shall address: 1. the inclusion of cultural heritage awareness training in the workforce induction; 2. the signposting and fencing of nearby heritage sites to prevent unauthorised access; 3. the monitoring of ground-disturbing activities by an anthropologist/archaeologist and representatives of the Traditional Custodians; and 4. the retrieval and relocation of heritage material which lies within the disturbance footprint in consultation with the Traditional Custodians. Objective To minimise impact on cultural heritage. Evidence Cultural Heritage Management Plan. (CHMP) Correspondence seeking Department of Indigenous Affairs advice.	Design Prior to ground-disturbing activities	DEC DIA	Cultural Heritage Management Plans submitted to Department of Indigenous Affairs (DIA), the Museum and the Department of Environment and Conservation for comment. Woodside provided Ngarluma, Injibarndi, Yaburarra, Mardudhunera and Wong-Goo-Tt-Oo groups with opportunities to comment in late 2006 and in mid 2007. Responses were incorporated into the CHMPs.

Audit Code Subject	What action must be taken How action must be taken and/or objective of action Objective Evidence that action has been taken	Project phase When action to be taken Where it is to be taken	• To requirements of • On advice from	Status
757:M10.2 Indigenous Heritage	Action Implement the Cultural Heritage Management Plan required by Condition 10-1.	Overall	Minister for Environment	In Progress
	How Implementation will take place through inductions monitoring and ongoing Site B Heritage Audits as outlined in Cultural Heritage Management Plan.		Livioninent	Site A – Letter from DIA confirming compliance, received 28 December 2007.
	Objective To prevent unnecessary impacts.			Site B – Independent consultant Australian Cultural Heritage
	Evidence Site A – letter from DIA confirming compliance. Site B – independent consultant report confirming compliance.			Management (ACHM) provided advice confirming compliance of cultural heritage requirements, within Site B in April, 2008. ACHM Compliance Conformation report can be provided to DEC if requested.
757:M10.3 Indigenous Heritage	Action Make the Cultural Heritage Management Plan required by condition 10-1 publicly available.	Construction	DEC	Completed
	How Cultural Heritage Management Plan to be made available in the following locations: - the Local Government Authority (2 copies), Battye Library (2 copies); Karratha Public Library (2 copies); and DEC Library Perth (2 copies - 1 hard copy, 1 cd copy)- Copies also to be freely available for download from the Woodside internet site, (availability and locations of plan are to be advertised in the Local			Letter was submitted to DEC on 5 October 2008 outlining public distribution of the CHMP by Woodside.
	newspaper Public Notices). Objective To ensure that the public is kept informed.			The CHMP was made available on the Woodside internet site (http://www.woodside.com.au/Regions/Australia+and+Asia/Development +Opportunities/Pluto/Approval+Process/Environmental+Approval.htm).
	Evidence CHMP's made available to the public on internet. Local Aboriginal groups provided with hard copy.			- opportunition ratio, approval in roccook Emilianno main rapportunitin).
757:M11.1 Compliance Reporting	Action Prior to submitting a Works Approval application for the plant, submit a detailed Front End Engineering Design Report demonstrating that the proposed works adopt best practice pollution control measures to minimise emissions from the plant.	Design Prior to submitting a	Minister for Environment	Completed
	How This report shall: 1. set out the base emissions rates for major sources for the plant and the design emission targets; and 2. address normal operations, shut-down, start-up, and equipment failure conditions.	Works Approval Application for the plant.	EPA	Front End Engineering Report was submitted to DEC on 31 April 2008. This report demonstrated that the proposed works undertake the best practice pollution control measures, minimising emissions on the plan.
	Objective To ensure best practice is applied to minimising air emissions.			
757.1444.0	Evidence Front End Engineering Design Report.	O - m - t m - e ti - m	NAI: into a form	Not applied to 0007 0000 applied a
757:M11.2 Air Emissions	Action At least three months prior to commencement of operations prepare an Air Quality Management Plan.	Construction At least 3 months prior to	Minister for Environment	Not applicable for 2007-2008 reporting period
	How This plan shall include: 1. cumulative air quality modelling which uses data from the Front End Engineering Design Report and includes emissions from approved industrial sources at Cape Preston and Barrow Island; 2. proposed targets and standards; 3. an emissions monitoring programme, which includes nitrogen compounds, butene, toluene, ethylene, xylene, ozone, acrylene and hydrogen sulphide emissions from the plant; 4. an ambient air monitoring programme and a nitrogen deposition monitoring programme; and 5. annual reporting.	the commencement of operations		Air Quality Management Plan will be submitted June 2010 prior to commencement of Pluto LNG Project Operations.
	Evidence Air Quality Management Plan.			
757:M11.3	Action Implement the Air Quality Management Plan required by Condition 11-2.	Operation	Minister for	Not applicable for 2007-2008 reporting period
Air emissions	Objective To minimise environmental impacts associated with air emissions.	Operation	Environment	Air Quality Management Plan will be submitted June 2010 prior to commencement of Pluto LNG Project Operations.
	Evidence Annual Compliance Report.			
757:M11.4 Air Emissions	Action Make the Air Quality Management Plan required by condition 11-2 publicly available.	Construction	DEC	Not applicable for 2007-2008 reporting period
	How Air Quality Management Plan to be made available in the following locations: - the Local Government Authority (2 copies), Battye Library (2 copies); Karratha Public Library (2 copies); and DEC Library Perth (2 copies - 1 hard copy, 1 cd copy)- Copies also to be freely available for download from the Woodside internet site, (availability and locations of the Air Emissions Management Plan are to be advertised in the Local newspaper Public Notices).			Air Quality Management Plan will be submitted June 2010 prior to commencement of Pluto LNG Project Operations.
	Objective To keep public informed.			
	Evidence Copy of advertisement of Air Quality Management Plan.			

Audit Code Subject	What action must be taken How action must be taken and/or objective of action Objective Evidence that action has been taken	Project phaseWhen action to be takenWhere it is to be taken	• To requirements of • On advice from	Status
757:M12.1 Greenhouse Gas Abatement	Action Develop a Greenhouse Gas Abatement Program: to ensure that the plant is designed and operated in a manner which achieves reductions in "greenhouse gas" emissions as far as practicable; to provide for ongoing 'greenhouse gas' emissions	Design Prior to commencement of construction of plant	Minister for Environment EPA	In Progress
	reductions over time; to ensure that through the use of best practice, the total net "greenhouse gas" emissions and/or "greenhouse gas" emissions per unit of product from the project are minimised; and to manage "greenhouse gas" emissions in accordance with the Framework Convention on Climate Change 1992, and consistent with the National Greenhouse Strategy.			Woodside submitted the Greenhouse Gas Abatement Program for DEC approval on 20 September 2007. The GGAP was approved by DEC on 12 October 2008.
	How This Program shall include: 1. calculation of the "greenhouse gas" emissions associated with the proposal, as advised by the Environmental Protection Authority; Note: The current requirements of the Environmental Protection Authority are set out in: Minimising Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Guidance for the Assessment of Environmental Protection Authority (October 2002). This document may be updated or replaced from time to time. 2. specific measures to minimise the total net "greenhouse gas" emissions and/or the "greenhouse gas" emissions per unit of product associated with the proposal using a combination of "no regrets" and "beyond no regrets" measures; 3. the implementation and ongoing review of "greenhouse gas" offset strategies with such offsets to remain in place for the life of the proposal; 4. estimation of the "greenhouse gas" efficiency of the project (per unit of product and/or other agreed performance indicators) and comparison with the efficiencies of other comparable projects producing a similar product, both within Australia and overseas. 5. implementation of thermal efficiency design and operating goals consistent with the Australian Greenhouse Office Technical Efficiency guidelines in design and operational management. 6. actions for the monitoring, regular auditing and annual reporting of "greenhouse gas" emissions and emission reduction strategies. 7. a target set by the proponent for the progressive reduction of total net "greenhouse gas" emissions and/or "greenhouse gas" emissions per unit of product and as a percentage of total emissions over time, and annual reporting of progress made in achieving this target. Consideration should be given to the use of renewable energy sources such as solar, wind or hydro power. 8. a program to achieve reduction in "greenhouse gas" emissions, consistent with the target referred to in (7) above. 9. entry, whether on a project-specific basis, company-wide arrangement or within an industrial grouping, as appropriate, into the Commonwealth Government's "Gree			
	Objective To minimise environmental impacts associated with greenhouse gas emissions. Evidence Greenhouse Gas Abatement program.			
757:M12.2 Greenhouse Gas Abatement	Action For the life of the project, the proponent shall provide a greenhouse gas offset package which, as a minimum, offsets the reservoir carbon dioxide released to the atmosphere.	Overall Ongoing	Minister for Environment	In Progress
Creeningse Sas Abatement	bjective To minimise Greenhouse gas emissions.			Reservoir CO ₂ offset obligations are currently being discharged via a contract with CO ₂ Australia. This contract requires CO ₂ Australia, on
	Evidence Formal agreement to provide offsets.			behalf of the Pluto LNG Project, to implement biosequestration of ar equivalent quantity of CO ₂ emissions to that released through venting of stripped reservoir CO ₂ .
757:M12.3:1	Action Implement the Greenhouse Gas Abatement Program required by condition 12-1.	Construction	Minister for	In Progress
Greenhouse Gas Abatement	Objective To minimise Greenhouse gas emissions.		Environment	Green house gas emissions will be minimised through the design of the
	Evidence Pluto LNG Project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report 2008.			Pluto LNG Project plant, which has been developed to reduce green house emissions. Pluto site's works approval application was submitted in DEC on 1 May 2008.
757:M12.3:2	Action Implement the Greenhouse Gas Abatement Program required by condition 12-1.	Operation	Minister for	Not applicable for 2007-2008 reporting period
Greenhouse Gas Abatement	Objective To minimise Greenhouse gas emissions.		Environment	Pluto's LNG Plant has been designed in accordance with requirements of
	Evidence Pluto LNG Project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report 2008.			the Greenhouse Gas Abatement Program.
757:M12.4 Greenhouse Gas Abatement	Action Prior to commencement of construction of plant, make the Greenhouse Gas Abatement Program required by condition 12-1 publicly available in a manner approved by the CEO.	Design Ongoing	DEC	Completed GGAP was made available on the Woodside internet site
	How Greenhouse Gas Abatement Program to be made available in the following locations: - the Local Government Authority (2 copies), Battye Library (2 copies); Karratha Public Library (2 copies); and DEC Library Perth (2 copies - 1 hard copy, 1 cd copy)-Copies also to be freely available for download from the Woodside internet site, (availability and locations of Greenhouse Gas Abatement Program are to be advertised in the Local newspaper Public Notices).			(http://www.woodside.com.au/Regions/Australia+and+Asia/Development +Opportunities/Pluto/Approval+Process/Environmental+Approval.htm).
	Objective To keep public informed.			
	Evidence Copy of advertisement of Greenhouse Gas Abatement Program.			

Audit Code Subject	What action must be taken How action must be taken and/or objective of action Objective Evidence that action has been taken	Project phaseWhen action to be takenWhere it is to be taken	• To requirements of • On advice from	Status
757:M13.1 Offsets	Action Implement the offset package set out in Schedule 6 (Ministerial Statement 757) to the requirements of the Minister for the Environment on advice of the Department of Environment and Conservation.	Overall	Minister for Environment	In Progress
Officers	Objective To minimise environmental impacts associated with greenhouse gas emissions. Evidence Pluto LNG Project Ministerial Statement 757 Compliance Report 2008.	Ongoing	DEC	Governance arrangements by which Pluto LNG Project environmental offsets are to be delivered was submitted to the Minister for the Environment for approval 18 April 2008.
				The above submitted governance arrangements were accepted by the Minister for the Environment by letter dated 3 June 2008.
				Schedule 6 has 7 offset components. Status of each component is as follows:
				Offset 1: Site A Conservation Zone (WBPL to implement) Conservation Management Plan is being developed for Pluto LNG Project Environmental Offsets Governance Committee to approve.
				Offset 2: Rehabilitation/ restoration outside lease (DEC to implement) Nil Progress
				Offset 3: Taxonomic studies of 37 Flora spp (DEC to implement) • DEC to develop scope for Pluto LNG Project Environmental Offsets Governance Committee to approve.
				Offset 4: Research and Monitoring Dampier Archipelago Marine Park (DEC to implement)
				Scoping of research priorities has commenced with discussions between WBPI and DEC.
				Offset 5: Managing Dredging Impacts (WBPL to implement) The offset scope incorporates pre, during and after dredging components.
				Work to address the "pre dredging" component was completed as Phase 1a (November 2007 to March 2008).
				Scoping of research priorities for the next phases were conducted with the DEC and independent experts (24-25 Nov).
				Offset 6: Genetic work to resolve to resolve taxonomic uncertainties – Rhagada Sp 12. (DEC to implement) Scope has been agreed between the Department and Woodside.
				UWA will be implementing proposal. Offset 7: Ethno-botanical study (WBPL to implement)
				Scope is being finalised with Indigenous groups following which, the scope will be provided to the Pluto LNG Project Environmental Offsets Governance Committee for approval.
757:M14.1 Decommissioning	ensure that the site is suitable for future land uses, and provides: 1. the rationale for the siting and design of plant and infrastructure as relevant to environmental protection; 2. a conceptual description of the final landform at closure; 3. a plan for a care and maintenance	Design Prior to submitting a Works Approval application for the plant.	DEC	Completed
Descrimination				Preliminary Decommissioning Plan was submitted to DEC on 30 April 2008.
	Objective To outline a plan for decommissioning.			Receipt of document submission was received from DEC on 7 May 2008. DEC provided comment on 28 October 2008. A response to these
	Evidence Preliminary Decommissioning Plan.			comments is still being developed.
757:M14.2 Decommissioning	How The Final Decommissioning Plan shall set out procedures and measures for: 1. removal or, if appropriate, retention of plant and infrastructure agreed in consultation with relevant stakeholders; 2. rehabilitation of all disturbed areas to a standard suitable for the	Operation At least 6 months before	DEC	Not applicable for 2007-2008 reporting period
Decommissioning		the date of closure or at a time approved by the CEO		Final Decommissioning Plan shall be developed closer to decommissioning date.
	Objective To ensure that the site is suitable for future land uses.			
	Evidence Final Decommissioning Plan.			

Audit Code Subject	What action must be taken How action must be taken and/or objective of action Objective Evidence that action has been taken	Project phase When action to be taken Where it is to be taken	• To requirements of • On advice from	Status
757:M14.3 Decommissioning	Action Implement the Final Decommissioning Plan required by condition 14-2 until such time as the Minister for the Environment determines, on advice of the CEO, that the proponent's decommissioning responsibilities have been fulfilled. Objective To fulfil decommissioning responsibilities Evidence CR	Closure Until such time as the Minister for Environment determines on advice of the CEO that the proponent's decommissioning responsibilities have been fulfilled.	Minister for Environment DEC	Not applicable for 2007-2008 reporting period Final Decommissioning Plan shall be developed closer to decommissioning date.
757:M14.4 Decommissioning	Action Make the Final Decommissioning Plan required by condition 14-2 publicly available in a manner approved by the CEO. How Final Decommissioning Plan to be made available in the following locations: - the Local Government Authority (2 copies), Battye Library (2 copies); Karratha Public Library (2 copies); and DEC Library Perth (2 copies - 1 hard copy, 1 cd copy)- Copies also to be freely available for download from the Woodside internet site, (availability and locations of the Final Decommissioning Plan are to be advertised in the Local newspaper Public Notices). Objective To ensure the public is kept informed Evidence Copy of advertisement of Final Decommissioning Plan	Closure	DEC	Not applicable for 2007-2008 reporting period Final Decommissioning Plan shall be developed closer to decommissioning date.

3. CONCLUSION

The Pluto LNG Project ha construction activities from	s substantially complied with the Ministerial Conditions during n October 2007 to October 2008.